

# Effective pathways towards reducing chronic malnutrition

Lora lannotti, PhD Symposium: Ensuring children's cognitive and physical development through animal-source foods Capitol Hill Club, June 5, 2019







### **Presentation Outline**

#### 1. Introduction

- The problem: stunting
- Biological and evolutionary basis of ASF

#### 2. Evidence

- Ecuador: Lulun Project
- Kenya: Samburu, coastal areas

#### 3. Conclusion

- ASF for achieving global milestones
- Key messages



### Malnutrition: prevalence

#### Malnutrition in young children

151 million stunted growth; 250 million stunted development
50 million wasted
41 million overweight/obese

#### Hidden hunger globally

33% children *vitamin A* deficient 18% children & 19% women anemic 17.3% world *zinc* deficient; 28% world *iodine* deficient

3.1 million (45%) of deaths to children <5 yr (Black et al. Lancet 2013)

#### Periods of greatest risk for chronic malnutrition



**FIGURE 1** 

Mean anthropometric z scores according to age for all 54 studies, relative to the WH0 standard (1 to 59 months). (Victora et al., Pediatrics 2010) Sensitive periods and the developing brain



THE LANCET

Advancing Early Childhood Development: from Science to Scale

### Hidden hunger & brain development

#### • "Brain-selective" nutrients

- Iodine (thyroid hormone), iron (white matter, myelination, neurotransmitter metabolism), zinc (enzymes, neurotransmitter), copper, selenium, DHA, choline, vitamins A and B12
- Deficiencies → hippocampus insults, ↓ learning and memory, compromised child development across all domains, etc.



Goyal, Iannotti and Raichle Annual Review of Nutrition 2018

### **Global Stunting**



#### Nutrient disparities: zinc availability (Lancet Planetary Health series 2018)



### Stunting: Causes & Consequences



#### Consequences:

- ↑ risk of infectious diseases (enteric, malaria, respiratory)
- Impaired development
- $\downarrow$  schooling
- 1 adult earnings
- Poor reproductive health
- Intergenerational effects

### **Evolutionary Nutrition: Theories**

#### Discordance theory

- Genome-nutrition divergence of divergence across the entire nutrition spectrum, with overlapping region of poor diet quality (Eaton & Iannotti Nutrition Reviews 2017)
- Shore-based paradigm
  - Archeological evidence (e.g. shell middens) points to emergence of *Homo* sapiens and anthropometric differences in body and brain, driven by shorebased diets (Cunnane & Crawford 2014)
- Savanna & Woodland theories
  - Hominin as hunter on savanna & woodland → grassy woodland (Washburn & Lancaster 1968; Stanford et al. 1999; White et al. 2009)



https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Common\_Gallinule/id

### The Homo genus: anatomical differences

- Homo erectus (early hominin) ~1.8 mya
  - Anatomical differences from other hominins (Australopithecus garhi & Homo habilis), attributable to diet changes - animal source foods in particular.

#### **Physical Differences**

- ↑ Brain size 3x the encephalization quotient (brain mass to body mass) (Broadhurst et al. 1998)
- ↑ Taller height 15% taller (Walker 1993)
- ↑ Larger body mass
- ↑ Longer legs (bipedalism)
- $\downarrow$  Smaller teeth
- ↓ Colon, ↑ small intestine (>56%)



https://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/the-top-tendaily-consequences-of-having-evolved-72743121/

### Advent of Agriculture (~10,000 ya)

#### Offspring numbers increase, by at what cost?

- Life expectancy  $\downarrow$  from 40 to 20 yr
- Human height ↓
- Infection ↑
- Brain size ↓



H. erectus (1.6 mya), H. heidelbergensis (300,000 ya), H. sapiens Cro-Magnon (30,000 ya;), modern H. sapiens (DeSilva et al. unpublished)



http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/media/106759/Painting-of-herdsmen-and-cattle-Tassili-n-Ajjer-Algeria

#### Food matrices: the importance of packaging



### E3 Nutrition Lab – animal source foods

Economically affordable Evolutionarily appropriate Environmentally sustainable

#### Eggs, Milk, Fish

- First foods: designed to sustain and support early life, entirely
  - Complete set of nutrients and other bioactive factors

Egg = >50% of daily nutrients (++) and 20-50% (+) for breastfed infant



Source: Iannotti et al. Nutrition Reviews 2014

#### Washington University in St. Louis

BROWN SCHOOL



# EVIDENCE

### Synthesized evidence

- Lancet Series: Maternal and Child Nutrition (Bhutta et al. 2013)
  - Assessed 43 nutrition-related interventions
  - Literature replete for nutrition-specific (micronutrient supplementation, fortification, LNS, breastfeeding), but limited for nutrition-sensitive (food/diet, agriculture/livestock, education, etc.)
  - Provision of complementary food in food insecure populations 0.39 SMD in HAZ; no effects on stunting reduction
- Cochrane Review ASF for growth in children 6-59 mo (Eaton et al. 2019)
  - Only 6 studies (n=3,076 children) from China, DRC, Ecuador, Guatemala, Pakistan, US, and Zambia
    - 3 reported increased height & weight with ASF vs. cereal-based foods/no intervention
    - 1 reported growth in height & weight gain in meat vs. dairy

### Lulun Project

- **Objective:** Test the efficacy eggs introduced early in complementary feeding period on growth and nutrient biomarker outcomes (n=163)
  - **Primary outcomes**: biomarkers of choline, betaine, vitamin  $B_{12}$ , fatty acids, anthropometry and growth
  - Secondary outcomes: acceptability, dietary intakes, and morbidities, amino acids, growth factors







## The Lulun Project

- RCT
  - **Cotopaxi:** mixed indigenous, high baseline stunting
  - Intervention: 1 egg/day for 6 mo, eggs purchased locally
  - Longitudinal follow-up: baseline (6-9 mo), endline (12-15 mo)
  - Social marketing: ownership, participation, compliance
- Mixed methods
  - Quantitative: caregiver surveys, anthropometry, GPS
  - Biomarkers: LC/MS/MS at Wash U, ELISA at NETLAB
  - Qualitative: grounded theory, structured observations, focus groups, and in-depth interviews





#### Social marketing: ownership, participation, and adherence







### Growth effects: GLM models

TABLE 2 Effect of the Intervention on Child Growth in a Randomized Controlled Trial of Eggs in Ecuador

	Baseline		End Point		Effect Size or PR Unadjusted		Effect Size or PR Adjusted <sup>a</sup>	
	Control ( <i>n</i> = 82)	Egg (n = 78) Control (n = 73)		Egg ( <i>n</i> = 75)				
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	β (95% Cl)	Р	<mark>β (95% CI</mark> )	Р
LAZ (SD)	-1.71 (0.92)	-2.09 (1.08)	-1.71 (1.00)	-1.39 (1.35)	.64 (0.40-0.89)	<.001	.63 (0.38-0.88)	<.001
WAZ (SD)	-0.40 (0.92)	-0.91 (1.24)	-0.55 (0.85)	-0.34 (1.06)	.71 (0.53-0.90)	<.001	.61 (0.45-0.77)	<.001
WLZ (SD)	0.86 (0.99)	0.55 (0.99)	0.36 (0.81)	0.45 (0.84)	.42 (0.20-0.65)	<.001	.33 (0.14-0.51)	<.001
BMIz (SD)	0.80 (1.00)	0.42 (1.10)	0.64 (0.82)	0.68 (0.86)	.45 (0.20-0.70)	<.001	.29 (0.08-0.49)	.006
	%	%	%	%	PR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Р	PR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Р
Stunted	26 (32)	37 (47)	29 (40)	21 (28)	0.70 (0.44-1.12)	.14	0.53 (0.37-0.77)	.001
Underweight	4 (5)	10 (13)	5 (7)	4 (5)	0.78 (0.22-2.80)	.70	0.26 (0.10-0.70)	.008

Table shows results for end-point mean (SD) anthropometric measures and prevalence (no. [%], of undernutrition), as well as GLM modeling for unadjusted and adjusted effect size and PR for anthropometric outcomes, by group.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for child age, sex of the child, and baseline anthropometry for the same dependent variable.

<sup>b</sup> PR was estimated using GLM with robust Poisson.



(lannotti et al. Pediatrics 2017)

### Egg increased linear growth by 0.63 LAZ, reduced stunting 47%



### Egg increased biomarkers of brain development

- Choline  $\rightarrow$  0.35 (95% CI: 0.12, 0.57)
  - cell membrane (phosphatidylcholine); neurotransmission (acetylcholine); memory & learning (hippocampus); gene expression (betaine to methionine)
- Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)  $\rightarrow$  0.43 (95%CI: 0.13, 0.73)
  - predominant n-3 fatty acid in the brain
  - neurogenesis, neurotransmission, myelination, synaptic plasticity
- Methionine, betaine, TMAO, DMA, amino acids



BROWN SCHOOL

#### Pilot study – Ecuador (preliminary, unpublished results)

-	Mear	<b>Z</b> Scores	s (SD)	WHO Mean Percentiles			
				(SD)			
	Male	Female	All	Male	Female	All Mean	
	(n = 25)	(n = 20)	(n = 47)	(n = 25)	(n = 20)	(n = 47)	
Biparietal	-0.65	-1.32	-0.95	35 (27.2)	19.75	28.05	
Diameter	(1.00)	(1.19)	(1.11)		(23.4)	(26.22)	
Head	0.45 (0.87)	-0.02	0.26 (0.97)	41 (33.4)	26.50	35.32	
Circumference		(1.07)			(24.6)	(29.9)	
Abdominal	0.06 (0.86)	0.08 (1.00)	0.08 (0.90)	38 (30)	42.75	40.32	
Circumference					(27.3)	(28.05)	
Femur Length	-0.21	-0.30	-0.22	39 (29.25)	46.75	43.51	
	(0.86)	(1.39)	(1.10)		(34.75)	(31.96)	
Humerus Length				41.82	34.84	38.44	
				(28.29)	(35.64)	(30.91)	
Estimated Fetal				39.90	45.25	42.61	
Weight				(31.75)	(33.86)	(31.76)	



Regression modeling:

 head circumference, biparietal diameter, & cerebellar diameter associated with dietary intake of animal source foods (seafood, eggs) BROWN SCHOOL



# KENYA

#### Pastoralists & milk nutrition

- 200 million pastoral and agro-pastoral people in drylands (ILRI)
- 1980s-1990s milk >50% of diets Turkana, Rendille, Maasai, and Borana; 30% in other agro-pastoralist populations of Sudan and Afghanistan
- Milk provides calcium, magnesium, zinc, selenium, riboflavin, vit B12, whey/casein proteins, linoleic acid and α-linolenic fatty acids, IGF-1



#### Pathways to nutrition impacts

- Livelihood transition:  $\downarrow$  Land access &  $\uparrow$  Sendentarization
- 2 comparable communities: Siambu, livestock; Mbaringon: cultivation
- Longitudinal data 2000, 2005, 2010, 2012



#### Maize dependency - % daily kcals



#### Food source of nutrients

	Energy	Vitamin A	Vitamin B <sub>12</sub>	Vitamin C	Folate	Iron	Zinc
Maize	49	34	0	0	27	60	51
Rice	5	0	0	0	7	5	13
Potatoes	2	0	0	33	2	3	1
Beans	11	0	0	0	57	27	21
Meat	1	0	6	0	0	2	3
Milk	10	57	94	50	6	2	11
Vegetables	0	9	0	17	1	1	0
Fat	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sugar	13	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3. Food group source of nutrients (%), 2010

lannotti and Lesorogol Current Anthropology 2014

#### Livestock ownership improves nutrient intake

#### • Findings:

- Livestock ownership increased nutrient adequacy for vitamin A, B<sub>12</sub>, and zinc (P<0.001) (Iannotti and Lesorogol CA 2014)</li>
- Milk consumption increased BMI z scores among youth (P<0.001) (Iannotti and Lesorogol AJPA 2014)
- Cattle and chicken ownership increased dietary diversity (P<0.001)</li>

#### Conclusion:

- Support livestock development among pastoralist households for child milk consumption and nutrition
- Next steps:
  - Samburu study to examine mineral status of adolescent pastoralists highlands vs lowlands



#### Washington University in St. Louis

BROWN SCHOOL



### SecureFish Kenya - FIL

- Problem
  - Coastal communities stunting 39% (26% national average) (DHS)
  - Kenyan coastal fisheries overexploited; ↓4x in catch since 1980s (Samoilys et al. 2017)
- Design & Methods
  - Site inclusion criteria: proximity to Marine Protected Area; Beach Management Units divided by coastal highway
  - Fishers (n=100) and non-fishers (n=100)
  - Mixed methods: qualitative, quantitative, Wildlife Conservation Society data

Partners: Wash U, University of Rhode Island, Egerton University, Pwani University, MSU







#### ASF Research – context matters



#### • Malawi

- Mazira RCT replication study: egg effects on growth, biomarkers, and child development  $\rightarrow$  no effect on LAZ or stunting
- High fish consumption; maize staple; limited social marketing
- Ecuador
  - Lulun II follow-up cohort  $\rightarrow$  effect no longer present after 2 years; ASF interventions should be continued through childhood
  - Sustainability and scalability of egg nutrition: poultry production  $\rightarrow$  barriers include soil quality, climate change
  - Evolutionary nutrition trial fish, eggs, berries/greens in pregnancy

#### Washington University in St. Louis

BROWN SCHOOL

# CONCLUSIONS



Global Nutrition Targets 2025 – ASF Contribution

- 1) Stunting  $\rightarrow$
- 2) Anemia  $\rightarrow$
- 3) Low birthweight  $\rightarrow$



### Key messages

- Globally, 151 million young children have stunted growth & 250 million stunted development. Malnutrition primarily risk factor in 45% of all childhood deaths globally.
  - Biological and evolutionary rationale underpin the need for ASF in human nutrition
- 2) Evidence is limited for ASF
  - Previous studies focused on nutrient supplements, LNS, & fortification with a small effect size of 0.39 HAZ
  - Lulun Project of one egg/d 

     LAZ by 0.63;

     biomarkers of
     brain development
  - Context matters: milk pastoralists; Mazira; fish coast; etc.
- 3) ASF show great potential for alleviating stunting
  - Global community strive for nutrition equity for planetary health
  - ASF contribute to Global Nutrition Targets & SDGs



BROWN SCHOOL

#### Acknowledgements

#### Washington University in St. Louis Lora lannotti Melissa Chapnick Xuntian Jiang Daniel Ory

**Universidad San Francisco de Quito** William Waters Carlos Andres Gallegos Riofrio Carla Malo

#### NETLAB

Luis Narvaez Santiago Aguirre Fernando Lopez **UC-Davis** Christine Stewart Rebecca Young

University of Maryland/RTI Chessa Lutter

**The Mathile Institute** Gregory Reinhart Ana Palacios

**Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation** Chris Damman Supriya Kumar Alexis Katsis



#### G. Reinhart photo

#### **Community of Pastocalle**

Funding provided by The Mathile Institute for the Advancement of Human Nutrition and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

